Eliopoulos, E., Sheldrick, B. \& Hamodrakas, S. (1983a). Acta Cryst. C39, 743-745.
Eliopoulos, E., Sheldrick, B. \& Hamodrakas, S. (1983b). Acta Cryst. C39, 1693-1695.
Hamodrakas, S. (1974). PhD Thesis, Univ. of Leeds, England.
Hamodrakas, S., Akrigg, D., Sheldrick, B. \& Camoutsis, C. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3426-3428.

Hamodrakas, S. \& Filippakis, S. E. (1977). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 6, 301-306.
Hamodrakas, S., Filippakis, S. E. \& Sheldrick, B. (1977). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 6, 209-214.
Kálmán, A., Duffin, B. \& Kucsman, A. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 586-594.
Lombardino, J. G. \& Wiseman, E. H. (1971). J. Med. Chem. 14, 973-977.

Motherwell, W. D. S. \& Clegg, W. (1978). Pluto78. A program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Panagiotopoulos, N. G. \& Filippakis, S. E. (1980). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 9, 321-324.
Panagiotopoulos, N. G., Filippakis, S. E. \& Catsoulakos, P. (1980). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 9, 313-320.

Perdikatsis, B., Catsoulakos, P. \& Filippakis, S. E. (1979). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 8, 213-220.
Shakked, Z. \& Rabinovich, D. (1977). Proc. IV Eur. Crystallogr. Meet., Oxford, Abstracts, pp. 142-143.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Sianesi, E., Redaelli, R., Magistretti, M. S. \& Massarani, E. (1973). J. Med. Chem. 16, 1133-1137.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 1215-1219

# Structure of a Potent Oxytocin-Receptor Ligand 

By Richard G. Ball<br>Merck Sharp \& Dohme Research Laboratories, PO Box 2000, Rahway, New Jersey 07065, USA

(Received 24 May 1990; accepted 12 September 1990)


#### Abstract

Pro-D-Phe- $\psi($ CS—NH $)$-Ile-D-Thp-Thp-d-MePhe-] [where D-Thp is the residue formed from the cyclic imino acid ( $R$ )-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-pyridazine-3-carboxylic acid], $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=$ 754.96, monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1}, \quad a=10.413(7), \quad b=$ 17.225 (8), $\quad c=11.200$ (4) $\AA, \quad \beta=97.77$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $1990 \AA^{3}, Z=2, \quad D_{x}=1 \cdot 260 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=$ $1 \cdot 54184 \AA, \quad \mu=1 \cdot 12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=804, \quad T=$ $296 \mathrm{~K}, R(F)=0.067$ for 2343 observed $[I \geq 3 \sigma(I)$ ] reflections. A macrocycle containing six amino acids, all with peptide linkages, adopts a conformation in which the backbone is relatively flat except at Phe$\psi(\mathrm{CS})$ which is well out of the plane of the rest of the molecule. The presence of an S instead of an O atom in an amide unit may contribute to conformational changes as a result of the larger steric requirements of sulfur. Changing an amide to a thioamide does not result in changes to the bond distances or angles within an amino-acid residue.


Introduction. The compound cyclo[-Pro-d-Phe-$\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$-Ile-D-Thp-Thp-D-MePhe-]* (1) has been prepared (Bock, DiPardo, Williams, Pettibone, Clineschmidt, Ball, Veber \& Freidinger, 1990) and found to be a highly potent and selective oxytocinreceptor ligand. The crystal structure analysis was undertaken to determine the conformation of the

[^0]cyclic ring, the relative positioning of the ring substituents and what, if any, steric requirements can be ascribed to the presence of the isoteric group $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ in a modified phenylalanine.

(1)

Experimental. Crystals grown by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution. Crystal $0.15 \times 0.07 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Lattice parameters determined using 11 reflections with $18<2 \theta<$ 34. Lorentz-polarization correction applied; absorption correction using empirical method (absorption surface) (Walker \& Stuart, 1983). Maximum and minimum correction coefficients applied to $F_{o}$ were 1.6394 and $0 \cdot 6809$. Intensity measurements in range $0<2 \theta<120^{\circ}$ (index limits: $h, 11 ; k, 19 ; l, \pm$ © 1991 International Union of Crystallography
12). Intensity standards: two reflections remeasured every 60 min of X-ray exposure time showed changes in intensity of 2.3 and $4.1 \%$, respectively. No decay correction. Total reflections measured: 3260; unique: $3074 ; 731$ unobserved $[I<3 \sigma(I)]$. $R$ factor for averaging equivalent reflections $0 \cdot 015$. Structure solved by direct methods using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Full-matrix least-squares refinement using $F$ magnitudes. Non-methyl H atoms located at calculated positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.95 \AA$ ), methyl H atoms fitted to peaks observed in a difference Fourier synthesis using idealized geometry. H-atom thermal parameters fixed at 1.2 times those of the attached atom, positional parameters constrained to 'ride' with those of the parent atom. All non-H atoms refined with isotropic thermal parameters except for 25 with large thermal motion which were allowed to refine anisotropically. For 342 variables refined: $R=0.067, w R$ $=0 \cdot 086, S=2 \cdot 75$. Weights of $1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ with $\sigma(F)$ defined by Stout \& Jensen (1968, equation H.14) with instability factor defined to be 0.04 . $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=$ $0 \cdot 05$. Maximum peak height in final difference Fourier map 0.91 (6) e $\AA^{-3}$. Included as a variable was a secondary-extinction coefficient which refined to 1.28 $\times 10^{-6}$. Neutral-atom atomic scattering factors, $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ anomalous-dispersion values from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B). Computer programs used include the Enraf-Nonius (1985) SDP-Plus software and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). All calculations performed on a Sun Microsystems 3-260 computer.

Positional and thermal parameters are listed in Table 1, selected distances and angles are presented in Table 2.* The molecule is depicted in Fig. 1 with the numbering scheme employed.

Discussion. As shown in Fig. 1 the solid-state conformation adopted by the 18 -membered ring of the macrocycle is an open ring structure with no $\beta$-turns and no $4 \rightarrow 1$ - or $3 \rightarrow 1$-type hydrogen-bonding interactions. The macrocycle is fairly flat except at the Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ residue which is twisted well out of the plane of the rest of the macrocycle [the $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles for the proline and $\mathrm{Phe}-\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ residues are $\left(-89 \cdot 9,50 \cdot 4^{\circ}\right)$ and $\left(81 \cdot 7,2 \cdot 1^{\circ}\right)$, respectively, and this pair of angles does not comprise one of the standard turn motifs]. This arrangement of the backbone at Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ may be stabilized by a weak hydrogen bond of $2.9 \AA$ between O 2 of Pro-1 and N1 of Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$. The side chain of the

[^1]Table 1. Positional. $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and thermal $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ parameters, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

The equivalent isotropic thermal parameter $U$ is given by $U=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}^{2}$, where $r_{i}$ are the principal root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration. Those parameters without an e.s.d. were not refined.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 361 (2) | 3617 | 9153 (2) | $70 \cdot 3$ (5) |
| Ol | 4011 (5) | 3371 (4) | 7332 (5) | 71 (2)* |
| 02 | 6768 (5) | 4251 (3) | 10549 (5) | 73 (2)* |
| 03 | 7818 (5) | 1630 (3) | 12187 (5) | 62 (2)* |
| 04 | 3893 (5) | 2027 (3) | 12964 (6) | 71 (2)* |
| 05 | 4004 (4) | 1602 (3) | 10411 (5) | 63 (2)* |
| N1 | 4228 (5) | 3926 (4) | 9176 (5) | 52 (1) |
| N2 | 6728 (6) | 3125 (4) | 9551 (5) | 54 (2) |
| N3 | 7033 (6) | 2834 (4) | 12401 (6) | 58 (2)* |
| N4 | 5535 (5) | 1164 (4) | 13269 (5) | 50 (1) |
| N5 | 5846 (6) | 392 (4) | 13193 (6) | 57 (2) |
| N6 | 2423 (5) | 1140 (4) | 11392 (5) | 49 (1) |
| N7 | 1102 (5) | 1193 (4) | 11499 (6) | 63 (2)* |
| N8 | 2551 (6) | 2806 (4) | 9566 (5) | 51 (1) |
| Cl | 1964 (7) | 3475 (5) | 9300 (6) | 53 (2) |
| C2 | 1854 (7) | 2080 (4) | 9757 (6) | 48 (2) |
| C3 | 1379 (7) | 1701 (5) | 8515 (7) | 56 (2) |
| C4 | 2483 (9) | 1419 (6) | 7874 (8) | 79 (3)* |
| C5 | 398 (8) | 1036 (6) | 8652 (8) | 70 (2) |
| C6 | -320 (10) | 793 (7) | 7463 (10) | 92 (3) |
| C7 | 2845 (7) | 1587 (4) | 10527 (6) | 49 (2) |
| C8 | 704 (9) | 759 (8) | 12231 (11) | 122 (4)* |
| C9 | 1492 (12) | 132 (10) | 12938 (13) | 210 (6)* |
| $\mathrm{Cl0}$ | 2765 (8) | 296 (6) | 13176 (9) | 86 (3)* |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}$ | 3389 (7) | 746 (5) | 12249 (7) | 51 (2) |
| C 12 | 4300 (7) | 1370 (4) | 12869 (7) | 50 (2) |
| Cl 3 | 6946 (7) | 161 (5) | 13728 (6) | 58 (3)* |
| C14 | 7959 (8) | 667 (5) | 14409 (8) | 65 (2) |
| C 15 | 7423 (7) | 1425 (5) | 14758 (7) | 61 (2) |
| C 16 | 6459 (7) | 1772 (4) | 13747 (7) | 54 (2) |
| Cl 7 | 7152 (7) | 2070 (5) | 12682 (7) | 54 (2) |
| C18 | 6190 (9) | 3369 (5) | 12960 (9) | 79 (3)* |
| C19 | 7881 (7) | 3172 (5) | 11595 (7) | 53 (2) |
| C20 | 8817 (7) | 3777 (5) | 12273 (7) | 60 (2) |
| C21 | 9563 (7) | 3479 (5) | 13372 (7) | 61 (2) |
| C22 | 10477 (8) | 2905 (7) | 13334 (8) | 79 (3)* |
| C23 | 11245 (12) | 2644 (8) | 14358 (11) | 117 (5)* |
| C24 | 11078 (10) | 2938 (6) | 15465 (9) | 86 (3) |
| C25 | 10206 (12) | 3494 (8) | 15533 (9) | 107 (4)** |
| C26 | 9425 (10) | 3773 (6) | 14485 (9) | 86 (4)* |
| C27 | 7084 (7) | 3547 (5) | 10517 (7) | 57 (2) |
| C28 | 7078 (9) | 2309 (5) | 9363 (8) | 71 (3)* |
| C29 | 6661 (11) | 2187 (6) | 8041 (9) | 90 (4)* |
| C30 | 6648 (10) | 2964 (6) | 7450 (8) | 86 (4)* |
| C31 | 6181 (7) | 3494 (5) | 8401 (6) | 60 (2)* |
| C32 | 4685 (7) | 3589 (5) | 8228 (6) | 55 (2) |
| C33 | 2855 (7) | 4155 (5) | 9086 (7) | 59 (2) |
| C34 | 2704 (8) | 4864 (5) | 9842 (8) | 68 (2) |
| C35 | 3283 (7) | 4782 (5) | 11177 (7) | 58 (3)* |
| C36 | 2745 (8) | 4292 (5) | 11947 (8) | 65 (2) |
| C37 | 3215 (9) | 4268 (6) | 13159 (9) | 80 (3) |
| C38 | 4203 (9) | 4760 (6) | 13582 (8) | 83 (3)* |
| C39 | 4758 (9) | 5246 (6) | 12863 (9) | 78 (3)* |
| C40 | 4305 (9) | 5243 (5) | 11666 (9) | 75 (3)* |

Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ residue is oriented to put the phenyl ring over the macrocycle with a close contact [3.301 (8) $\AA$ ] between the $N$-methyl group (C18) and the para C atom (C38) of the ring. This orientation of this phenyl ring is presumably an artifact of crystal packing forces as there is no evidence in the solution NMR for this ring interacting with the H atoms on C18 (Bock et al., 1990).

With recent publications on the stereochemical and physicological effects of changing amides to thioamides (Spatola, 1983; Sherman \& Spatola, 1990) it is of interest to examine what effects, if any, the stereochemical requirements of the modified phenylalanine would have on the conformation of

Table 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 1.674 (8) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 33$ | 1.532 (13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{OI}-\mathrm{C} 32$ | 1-204 (10) | C2-C3 | 1.555 (12) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 1.259 (11) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 1.513 (12) |
| O3-C17 | $1-210$ (10) | C3-C4 | 1.515 (13) |
| O4-C12 | 1-218(10) | C3-C5 | 1.556 (14) |
| O5-C7 | 1.231 (9) | C5-C6 | 1.496 (15) |
| N1-C32 | $1 \cdot 352$ (11) | C8-C9 | 1.51(2) |
| N1-C33 | 1.473 (11) | C9-C10 | 1.346 (17) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 1.315 (11) | $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | 1.512 (13) |
| N2-C28 | 1.474 (12) | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 1.536 (12) |
| N2-C31 | 1.479 (11) | C13-C14 | 1.495 (13) |
| N3-C17 | 1.355 (11) | C14-C15 | 1.492 (13) |
| N3-C18 | 1.470 (12) | C15-Cl6 | 1.530 (12) |
| N3-C19 | 1.467 (11) | C16-C17 | 1.562 (12) |
| N4-N5 | 1.374 (10) | $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20$ | 1.553 (12) |
| N4-C12 | 1.351 (10) | C19-C27 | 1.513 (13) |
| N4-C16 | 1.472 (11) | C20-C21 | 1.456 (12) |
| N5-C13 | 1.282 (11) | C28-C29 | 1.501 (15) |
| N6-N7 | 1.400 (9) | C29--C30 | 1.493 (17) |
| N6-C7 | 1.357 (10) | C30-C31 | 1.531 (14) |
| N6-Cll | 1.461 (11) | C31-C32 | 1.553 (12) |
| N7-C8 | 1.222 (14) | C33-C34 | 1.507 (14) |
| N8-Cl | $1 \cdot 320$ (11) | C34-C35 | 1.540 (14) |
| N8-C2 | 1.477 (11) |  |  |
| C32-N1-C33 | $120 \cdot 2$ (7) | O4-C12-Cll | 119.2 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 28$ | 126.6 (8) | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Cl2-C11}$ | 118.0 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $120 \cdot 8$ (8) | N5-C13-C14 | 125.5 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C} 28-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 111.1 (8) | C13-C14-C15 | 112.4 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 7-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 8$ | 123.5 (8) | C14-C15-C16 | 112.3 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C17-N3-C19}$ | [19.1 (7) | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Cl} 6-\mathrm{Cl} 5$ | 108.8 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C18}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 9$ | 117.1 (8) | N4-C16-Cl7 | 107.6(7) |
| N5-N4-C12 | 117.1 (7) | C15-C16-C17 | $111.7(7)$ |
| N5-N4-C16 | 124.2 (7) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 7-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 122.8 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl2}-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Cl} 6$ | 118.7 (7) | O3-Cl7-C16 | 119.8 (9) |
| N4-N5-C13 | 118.4 (8) | N3-C17-C16 | 117.3 (8) |
| N7-N6-C7 | 116.2 (7) | N3-C19-C20 | 110.8 (7) |
| N7-N6-C11 | 124.9 (7) | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 110.5 (7) |
| C7-N6-Cl1 | 118.2 (7) | C20-C19-C27 | 110.2 (8) |
| N6-N7-C8 | 116.4 (9) | C19-C20-C21 | 113.9 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{N} 8-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 123.4 (7) | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 22$ | 121.2 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{N} 8$ | 125.1 (7) | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 26$ | 121.9 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 33$ | 119.3 (7) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 120.7 (9) |
| N8-C1-C33 | 115.5 (7) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{C} 19$ | 120.4 (9) |
| N8-C2-C3 | 109.2 (7) | N2-C27-C19 | 119.0 (9) |
| N8-C2-C7 | $104 \cdot 3$ (7) | N2-C28-C29 | $103 \cdot 3$ (9) |
| C3-C2-C7 | 112.6 (7) | C28-C29-C30 | 107.2 (10) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 112.9 (8) | C29-C30-C31 | $102 \cdot 2$ (9) |
| C2-C3-C5 | 110.8 (8) | N2-C31-C30 | $103 \cdot 2$ (8) |
| C.4-C3-C5 | 111.1 (9) | N2-C31-C32 | 114.5 (7) |
| C3-C5-C6 | 112.0 (9) | C30-C31-C32 | 112.5 (9) |
| O5-C7-N6 | $120 \cdot 2$ (8) | $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{N}$ l | $124 \cdot 1$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{O5}-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 122.1 (8) | $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 122.2 (9) |
| N6-C7-C2 | 117.6 (7) | N1-C32-C31 | 113.6 (8) |
| N7-C8-C9 | 125.3 (11) | N1-C33-C1 | 112.8 (8) |
| C8-C9-C10 | 113.8 (14) | N1-C33-C34 | 110.6 (8) |
| C9-C10-C11 | 118.3 (11) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 33-\mathrm{C} 34$ | 114.9 (8) |
| N6-Cl1-Cl0 | 111.6 (7) | C33-C34-C35 | 114.5 (9) |
| N6-C11-C12 | 107.5 (7) | C34-C35-C36 | $121 \cdot 7$ (9) |
| $\mathrm{C10}-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{Cl2}$ | $110 \cdot 2$ (8) | C34-C35-C40 | 120.8 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cl2-N4}$ | 122.8 (9) |  |  |

(1). Examination of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (Allen, Kennard \& Taylor, 1983) shows entries for 27 cyclic hexapeptides containing no metal ions or other charged species. Unfortunately none of the cyclic hexapeptides in the CSD contain a thioamide group but extending the search revealed a few (seven) structures of acyclic thioamide-containing species and these structures were included with the cyclic hexapeptide molecules extracted from the database. The relevant geometrical parameters for the thioamide compounds, (1) and the mean values for the parameters of the cyclic hexapeptides are presented in Table 3. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond in (1) is $1.674(5) \AA$, which is at the long end of
the range of values ( $1.63-1.67 \AA$ ) that has been observed in other thioamides. The Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ Ile peptide bond ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 8$ ) is 1.320 (6) $\AA$ which compares closely to the 1.34 (2) $\AA$ found as the mean value in 222 observations of the cyclic hexapeptides drawn from the CSD. As is shown by the values in Table 3, there is no apparent correlation between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond length and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ (peptide) bond length nor is the angle at the $s p^{2} \mathrm{C}$ significantly changed between a standard amide and a sulfur-containing species. The two torsion angles involving the backbone at the thioamide are $\omega$ and $\psi$ and they have values of $-177 \cdot 6$ and $2 \cdot 1^{\circ}$, respectively. These values indicate that the Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$-Ile peptide linkage is flat $(\omega)$ and that the Phe- $\psi(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{NH})$ residue has adopted a conformation $(\psi)$ which staggers the substituents on C 33 relative to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond. Very similar values are observed in the structures found in the CSD, although the range of $\psi$ is quite broad in these compounds (approximately $35^{\circ}$ either side of two clustering points of 0 and $150^{\circ}$ ). These observations, as summarized in Table 3, support the conclusion that the presence of a thioamide instead of an amide makes essentially no difference to the bonding parameters of an amino-acid residue (except, of course, the $\mathrm{C}=X$ double-bond length).

In addition to the actual bond lengths and angles of the thioamide-containing residue it is possible that the presence of the S atom may restrict the torsion angles available to the backbone atoms in order to prevent steric crowding of the $S$ atom. Interestingly, in the 27 cyclic hexapeptides found in the CSD, the 18 -membered macrocycle is relatively flat except when the presence of several proline residues serves to restrict the molecule conformationally. Thus the unique molecular conformation of (1) in which the plane formed by atoms $\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 33-$ $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 8-\mathrm{C} 2$ is nearly perpendicular to the plane of


Fig. 1. Perspective view of the molecule with $20 \%$ probability ellipsoids. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 3. Selected geometrical parameters of peptide fragments

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\omega^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distances ( $\AA$ ) |  |  | Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}=X_{6}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $4 \psi^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| $X=\mathrm{S}$ species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.674 | 1.320 | 1.532 | $115 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | -177.6 |
| CEVNUM10 | 1.630 | 1.330 | 1.519 | $115 \cdot 6$ | -26.2 | -176.4 |
| CEVNUM10 | 1.639 | 1.344 | 1.507 | 115.6 | 28.2 | $179 \cdot 3$ |
| DIDYEU | 1.663 | 1.321 | 1.532 | 116.5 | -26.2 | 179.4 |
| DIDYIY | 1.663 | 1.312 | 1.520 | 116.8 | -28.9 | -175.5 |
| FEVBEN | 1.655 | $1 \cdot 302$ | 1.537 | 115.0 | 6.8 | -179.1 |
| FEVBEN | 1.649 | 1.330 | 1.511 | 113.0 | -168.5 | -178.4 |
| FUSMIP | 1.664 | 1.320 | 1.511 | 113.2 | 154.2 | $169 \cdot 0$ |
| IMTYBA | 1.671 | 1.332 | 1.549 | 119.5 | 43.2 | -178.6 |
| TCEITZ | 1.665 | 1.339 | 1.553 | 119.4 | $36 \cdot 2$ | -177.3 |
| $X=\mathrm{O}$ species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Means ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.231 (20) | 1.339 (20) | ) 1.524 (20) | 116.9 (23) | $e$ | $e$ |

Notes: (a) The $\psi$ and $\omega$ torsion angles are defined as $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{N}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ respectively. (b) This work. (c) CEVNUM10 (Hansen, Clausen \& La Cour, 1987). DIDYEU and DIDYIY: (Jensen, Lawesson, Bardi, Piazzesi, \& Toniolo 1985). FEVBEN (Hansen, Clausen \& La Cour, 1987). FUSMIP (Bardi, Piazzesi, Toniolo, Jensen, Andersen \& Senning, 1988). IMTYBA (Schaumann, Kausch, Klaska, Klaska \& Jarchow, 1977). TCEITZ (Schmid, Heimgartner, Schmid \& Oberhansli, 1976). (d) Mean values for parameters of 222 residues in 27 cyclic hexapeptides. Standard deviations of the samples are given in parentheses. (e) Mean values of torsion angles are meaningless but a histogram of the distribution shows a strong preference for $\psi$ to be $0 \pm 40$ or $\pm(150 \pm 30)^{\circ}$ while $\omega$ prefers to be near 0 or $180^{\circ}$.
the other 11 backbone atoms seems to imply that the presence of a thioamide has changed the conformational energetics. A sulfur atom is considerably larger than an O atom; the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond is about $0.43 \AA$ longer than the corresponding $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond and the van der Waals radius of an $S$ atom is about the same amount larger than that of an O atom. Thus it would be expected that an S atom will impose a geometry which allows it to be in a larger pocket than that needed by an O atom while still (as shown by the observed bond distances and angles) maintaining normal peptide geometry. Indeed, in (1) the sulfur atom of the thioamide is pointing out and away from the ring of the macrocycle whereas the O atoms are pointing above, below or into the plane of the macrocycle and the closest non-bonded distances from S to atoms at least three bonds away range from 3.10 to $3.57 \AA$. In contrast, similar non-bonded distances involving the O atoms of the molecule range from 2.65 to $2.92 \AA$. If a plot of $\varphi$ and $\psi$ torsion angles is constructed for each residue of the molecules selected from the CSD and for (1) (Fig. 2) then it is seen that the $\varphi$ and $\psi$ values for (1) all fall in areas also populated by the cyclic hexapepties (with the possible exception of $123,-89^{\circ}$ for residue 5 , MePhe, which is slightly displaced from the two nearby clusters). However, if the individual $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles are examined for all -Pro-Phe- $X$ sequences (Table 4) then we see that the $\psi$ angle for Pro is unusual at $50.45^{\circ}$. Indeed, if this analysis is extended

Table 4. $(\varphi$ and $\psi)$ angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for -Pro-Phe- $X$-peptide fragments

|  | $\psi$-Pro | $\psi$-Phe | $\psi-X$ | $\varphi$-Pro | $\varphi$-Phe | $\varphi$ - $X$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CYBGPP $\boldsymbol{X}$ | 133.79 | -8.65 | -161.82 | -56.34 | 100.32 | 165.34 |
| CYBGPP | 130.64 | -19.07 | -163.79 | -71.55 | 112.21 | 178.42 |
| CYCAPP | 122.48 | 9.01 | 171.74 | -60.45 | 78.72 | -156.63 |
| DUYTIA | -25.31 | -5.99 | 143.38 | -63.78 | -116.00 | -166.59 |
| DUYTIA | -130.50 | 18.22 | 177.73 | 61.14 | -91.35 | -130.47 |
| GAJDUQ | -121.90 | 2.91 | 175.70 | 60.69 | -84.43 | -126.99 |
| GAJFAY | -117.98 | -3.29 | 170.56 | 61.95 | -82.80 | -118.18 |
| GAJFAY | -120.52 | 9.54 | -174.84 | 57.94 | -95.34 | -131.12 |
| $(1)^{h}$ | 50.45 | 2.11 | 142.70 | -89.92 | 81.67 | -156.6 |

Notes: (a) CYBGPP (Brown \& Yang 1979). CYCAPP (Brown \& Teller, 1976). DUYTIA (Kessler, Klein, Wagner, Bats, Ziegler \& Frimmer, 1986). GAJDUQ and GAJFAY (Kessler, Bats, Griesinger, Koll, Will \& Wagner, 1988). (b) This work.


Fig. 2. A Ramachandran plot of the $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles for all residues of 27 cyclic hexapeptides selected from the CSD $(\times)$ and the residues in (1) $(+)$.
to all prolines in peptides available from the CSD it is seen that the most probable proline $\psi$ angle is either $\simeq+140$ or $\simeq-20^{\circ}$ with any angle between 10 and $100^{\circ}$ being very rare (three examples in 194 observations). Thus it is possible that the presence of the thioamide forces the proline residue to adopt an unusual geometry thereby resulting in the observed bent geometry of the macrocycle. In order to make a more detailed structural comparison attempts are underway to try and crystallize the molecule in which the thioamide is replaced by a regular amide, but to date these efforts have been unsuccessful.
The two 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridazine moieties have their six-membered rings in envelope $\left(C_{s}\right)$ conformations although the atom which is out of the plane is different for the two rings (C9 of Thp-4 and C 15 of Thp-5). The proline residue also has its ring in a $C_{s}$ conformation and in this case C30 is $0.53 \AA$ out of the plane of the other four atoms of the ring.

All six peptide linkages are trans and essentially planar ( $\omega$ angles deviate from planarity by from 0.9


Fig. 3. Stereoview of the molecular packing around a unit cell. The view selected shows more than the contents of a single unit cell.
to $12 \cdot 9^{\circ}$ ) with no unusual bond lengths or angles. The $\chi_{1}$ angles for Ile and the two Phe residues have values indicating standard staggered conformations for the side-chain substituents.

A packing diagram is shown in Fig. 3. There are no intermolecular distances shorter than $3.35 \AA$ and thus no hydrogen bonding between molecules. While this lack of hydrogen bonding is unusual it is not unique and because only N1 and N8 have suitable protons and these are both pointing into the macrocycle it is not that surprising that there are no intermolecular hydrogen bonds. As is evident from the packing diagram there are no apparent stacking interactions of unsaturated systems either and thus it is presumed that only weak van der Waals interactions are present in the crystal structure.

The author wishes to thank Dr M. G. Bock for supplying the crystals used in this analysis and for helpful discussions.
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#### Abstract

C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FI}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, \quad M_{r}=459 \cdot 14\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=16.771$ (3), $b=8.522$ (2), $c=10.782$ (2) $\AA$, $\beta=103.18(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=1500(1) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $2.02 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=864, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.048$ for 2377 independent observed reflections. The piperidine ring adopts a chair conformation with the three substituent groups in equatorial positions. The N-


C (aryl) bond is inclined at $42.6(7)^{\circ}$ to the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ -$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ plane of the piperidine. The torsion angles in the piperidine ring are $53 \cdot 4-60 \cdot 2(6)^{\circ}$, with the largest angles adjacent to $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and the smallest adjacent to C(4).

Introduction. Lone pairs of electrons strongly influence the conformational properties of heterocyclic


[^0]:    * The nomenclature used is in accordance with IUPAC-IUB Joint Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (1984).

[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom positional and thermal parameters, and references for structures extracted from the CSD have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53566 ( 17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

